UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,854	08/25/2006	Tadayuki Isaji	0171-1300PUS1	4134
2292 7590 02/02/2009 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 EALL S CHURCH, VA 22040 0747			EXAMINER	
			PAK, HANNAH J	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/02/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/590,854	ISAJI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hannah Pak	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the E				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/25/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jonas et al. (US 2002/0173579) in view of Admitted Prior Art (Page 6, Paragraph 10 of the specification) or Wessling et al. (US 5,567,355).

Jonas et al. disclose a process for the preparation of dispersions or solutions suitable for the production of rechargable batteries, light-emitting diodes, electrochromic windows, cathode ray tubes, and antistatic coatings (Paragraphs 1, 3, and 82). The process involves 1) preparing an aqueous suspension containing polythiophene+An-lon complex (polythiophene+ is a polymer), which is subjected to both anion and cation exchangers (method of deionization), and membrane method, such as ultrafiltration, 2) adding an organic solvent, such as N-methylpyrrolidone, alcohols, and ethers, to the aqueous suspension, 3) at least some of the water is removed from the resultant mixture, and 3) the mixture can be further diluted with other types of organic solvents, including aliphatic alcohols, e.g. n-propanol or isopropanol, and aliphatic ketones (see Paragraphs 34-50, 74, 78, 84 and 91).

Jonas et al. do not specifically indicate their polythiophene polymers are intrinsically conductive polymer.

However, the applicants admit on page 6, paragraph 10 of the specification that samples of intrinsically conductive polymers, polythiophene (typically, 3,4 ethylenedioxythiophene), polyaniline, a mixture thereof and a copolymer thereof, in the form of aqueous colloidal dispersion are not only commercially available, but desirable as well.

Alternatively, Wessling et al. teach intrinsically conductive polymers, such as polyaniline or polythiophene, are well known in the art to be very good conductor of electricity (Col. 1, lines 10-41).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the widely known and advantageous intrinsically conductive polymers, which is inclusive of the polythiophene polymer taught by Jonas et al., in the process for the preparation of dispersions or solutions.

Regarding claims 4, 5 and 10, Jonas et al. do not mention the specific solid and water contents. However, Jonas et al. teach the water content to be preferably 0-5% by weight (Paragraph 80), which overlaps with the amount recited in claims 5 and 10 (below 1%). Jonas et al. also teach 0.01% to about 20% by weight of solids content (Paragraph 81), which overlaps with the amount recited in claim 4 (0.05-10 wt. %). Therefore, the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the invention was made, since it has been held that choosing the overlapping portion of the ranges taught by Jonas et al. and the ranges claimed by the applicant, has been held to be a *prima facie* case of obviousness, see *MPEP* § 2144.05. It would have been also obvious to have the optimum or workable amounts of

solid and water content to obtain the dispersion or a solution with desired properties suitable for various productions, see MPEP § 2144.05, IIB.

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hannah Pak whose telephone number is (571) 270-5456. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - alternating Fridays (7:30 am - 5 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hannah Pak

Application/Control Number: 10/590,854 Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

Examiner Art Unit 1796

/HP/

/Vasu Jagannathan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796